

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/403,487	OKAMOTO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Kenneth A Parker	2871

Interview Summary	<u> </u>			
merview cummary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Kenneth A Parker		2871	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) <u>Kenneth A Parker</u> .	(3)Robert Down	<u>s</u> .		
(2) <u>David Chung</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>08 May 2003</u> .				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	r)⊠ applicant's rep	resentative	]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 2</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: Sonehara.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊠ was not reache	d. h)□ N	/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	nature of what was	agreed to	if an agreement	was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	opy of the amendme			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FINTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirer	last Office action h	as already T OF THE	been filed, APPI SUBSTANCE O	LICANT IS
•				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an		·	-1	
Attachment to a signed Office action.	⊨xam	iner's sign	ature, if required	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 04-03)



Application No. 09/403,487

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was agreed that Sonehare figure 11, 1102 was not the black state of 1101. Applicant's representative asks where is the dark state shown in the reference, and argued that their display produces at the reflector linearly polarized light of different orientations in a white display and pointed to the clause that begins "to perform the white display" as the part of the claim that addresses this limitation; and indicated that they believed that the Itoh reference did not have the claimed retardation values for the individual retardation plates. The examiners indicated that the references and claims would be reviewed in accordance with the presented arguments.